A. No, I'm not familiar with that technology.

Again, I'm here -- I know frame relay and
that's what I'm here to talk to you about.

- Q. But you're talking about connection-oriented services, aren't you?
- A. Frame relay as a connection-oriented service, yes.
- Q. So you're wholly ignorant of other connection-oriented services?
- A. I can probably, you know, talk through conceptually the service you described. I really am ignorant as to the technical capabilities that enable that.
- Q. Let me put it this way. Let me put it more generically. If I took a switched telephony service, I picked up my office phone and have the switch programmed so that if I put in, say, a two- or three-digit code it would automatically ring to one number at an address across the street, would that be a connection-oriented service?
- A. I think that depends.

- Q. On what?
- A. I think there are certain ways to route that over a connection-oriented service such as frame relay. There's ways probably to program that, although I'm unsure of the technological side, over the public switched telephone network.
- Q. Let's explore that a little bit. Let's suppose the entire call did not transit beyond the public switched network. If I programmed a switch that every time I picked up a phone or dialed a three-digit extension it only went to one place, would that be a connection-oriented service?
- A. Again, I don't know. It could be I guess.
- Q. Is Internet access a connection-oriented service?
- A. The access to Internet probably could be -- you probably could achieve Internet access over a connection-oriented service such as frame relay.
- Q. How about dial access to an Internet service provider?

MR. FRUEHWALD: Your Honor, I think we're getting far afield from the direct

1 2

testimony here in terms of exploring other
types of sometimes hypothetical and other
technologies beyond what's at issue here, frame
relay, which is what Mr. Whiting's testimony
was about, and so I'm going to object to this
as being beyond the scope of cross and being
outside the province of this arbitration
altogether. We're not talking about the other
technology.

MR. CANIS: May I respond, your Honor? The purpose of this line of questions is to identify the ramifications if this Commission were to adopt the definitional interpretation of exchange service that is being promoted by Ameritech in this proceeding, and I think it is important both as a factual issue and as a policy issue to determine if this Commission adopts the interpretation of exchange service as a switched service and only a switched service, would that exclude significant other categories of traffic besides frame relay from interconnection under 251, 252 of the Act.

JUDGE MILLER: Well, I understand your point. Of course, I think the witness is

here to explain his definition of connection-oriented and how that relates to frame relay. Now, I do think it is appropriate to explore what is meant by connection-oriented testimony and it's appropriate to inquire as to other examples such as Internet access; however, you're not here as an Internet access witness and to the extent that that is beyond the scope of your knowledge, you certainly do not have to answer those questions, but it is appropriate to probe what -- how you define a connection-oriented technology and how you distinguish that from a switched technology.

MR. FRUEHWALD: Your Honor, let me respond. We haven't had a second hearing on whether these other technologies are appropriate and the assumption is that other ones are not, and we're not going to go, I take it, and litigate all the other types of technology to see whether they're comparable. We're here on frame relay.

Second, this is not the position of

Ameritech that's being represented here. It's

not a matter of switched. It's exchange. It's

the key terminology which we're talking about

which makes this different from frame relay service, not the fact that it's switched but the fact that it's not an exchange, and that's the key we briefed and that the parties should know about and this is sort of a whole sidelight which is not critical to the position that we've taken, although it's -- you know, it's a part of the description of the service, that's a misrepresentation of what we're asserting in terms of the statutory interpretation or the key concept of exchange.

TUDGE MILLER: Well, I appreciate the clarification to the extent there had been a blending of the concepts of switched and exchange. I do think that ICI may probe the witness as to his conceptualization of connection-oriented versus switched as in his testimony and you're free to clarify the relationship, how switched and exchange are similar and perhaps how they are different.

Also, I want to assure Ameritech that other technologies which are mentioned, it is not assumed that those are necessarily covered by the Act. Nevertheless, again, ICI is free to compare it to other existing technologies

without predetermining any ruling by this

Commission or anyone else as to the

requirements for interconnection for such

services under the Act, so if you want to -- I

don't know where that leaves us as far as the

question on the table, but I'd ask you to

repeat it, not necessarily verbatim, but if you

can, that would be fine.

QUESTIONS BY MR. CANIS:

- Q. Thank you. In the example I discussed earlier where I have a public switched network phone, I pick it up and either automatically or after dialing two or three digits my call is routed entirely over the public switched network to arrive at a single predefined destination, would that be a connection-oriented service?
- A. Well, again, the terms are confusing me a little bit. When I think of a public switched network phone, I think of a phone that accesses the public switched network, so you would not have that inherent ability to just dial a couple numbers and get to another point. Maybe I am not familiar with the technology well enough.
- Q. Well, I think we discussed earlier that ATM

technology may take service originated on the public switched network and transfer it to a private intrabuilding ATM network; is that not the case?

- A. Well, intracompany is probably more accurate.

 I think there certainly will be ways to

 interface the public switched telephone network

 with a private data network.
- Q. So is it your testimony that there cannot be a connection-oriented service that exists on the public switched network?
- A. Again, we get down to some semantics. When you say exists on the public switched telephone network, I'm a little unclear what you're getting --
- Q. Let's take originates, is transited over and terminates on the public switched network.
- A. Again, my interpretation of the public switched telephone network is something distinct from a connection-oriented network.
- Q. So it is your testimony, then, that you cannot have a connection-oriented application or transmission on the public switched network?
- 24 A. Yes.

1.0

Q. Are there any switched data services?

- A. Well, again, we have to differentiate between a switching technology and a data service which is in fact switched. Frame relay is a switching technology, but again I would reinforce that it's not in fact switched as you would think of when you think of the public switched telephone network.
- Q. Are there any other data services that are switched services?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Could you name some, please?
- A. One that I know of is switch multi-megabyte data service.
 - Q. What is the characteristic that makes that data service a switched data service?
 - A. Well, the connections aren't permanent. It's not connection-oriented such as frame relay. A user on a switch multi-megabyte network can dial up any other user using the same type phone number, the E.164 numbering plan, and then disconnect that call as soon as they're done. They can even call people outside of the network that have made allowances to accept an incoming call from this technology.
 - Q. Let's explore that last statement a little.

You said that SMDS users have dial-up access to 1 any other SMDS user. Does that -- I'm sorry? 2 I didn't say --3 Α. I'm sorry. If allowances are made, they can have that Α. 5 connectivity. It's not just the general rule 6 that you can dial up anyone else. 7 So you're saying -- what was your term, ' 8 Q. 9 allowances must be made? Well, let me give you an example. Say a auto 10 supplier such as Ford might allow their 11 suppliers to access their internal corporate 12 network via SMDS by allowing them security 13 clearance, passwords, authentication, 14 et cetera, to be able to dial in. Another user 15 would not have that same capability. Ford 16 would have to make allowances. 17 So if I'm an SMDS user, I don't have ubiquitous 18 19 dial-up access to every other telephone 20 communication subscriber in the exchange, is 21 that the case? 22 I would not categorize SMDS as That's correct. 23 an exchange service. But you would characterize it as a switched 24 25 service?

Q. Could you explain that, please?

- A. SMDS is not built upon those permanent virtual connections like frame is. Again, you can dial up and use the data services as long as you need it, then tear down that call and call up another user.
- Q. Are there any applications of frame relay that would allow you to do that?
- A. There has been talk of switched virtual circuits with frame relay which would enable something similar, but as of today Ameritech hasn't implemented that and I'm not sure of any customers that have either. I'm not aware of any customers.
- Q. You are a member of the -- I may have this wrong, but I think it's Frame Relay Standards Board?
- A. Frame Relay Forum. It's more of a consortium, not a board.

- Q. Are you aware of any developments toward switched virtual circuits coming out of work that the forum has done?
- A. Yes, there has been a lot of attention paid to switched virtual circuits. There may be standards that are put in place by the equipment vendors. I'm not sure of the most current status.
- Q. So is it your position that frame relay may not be -- rather is a connection-oriented service now, but may be a switched service at some time in the future?
- A. Sure, yes.

- O. About how far in the future?
- A. I'm not sure. Although the standards might be put in place, there are some severe obstacles to implementation of switched virtual circuits such as the carriers' abilities to accurately track and bill for these technologies.
- Q. Let's go back to the description of the SMDS services. You mentioned I'm Ford and I have a number of parts dealers that I want to have hooked up on to my SMDS network. How is that done?
- A. From what perspective?

Q. I'm calling AT&T, I'm Ford. Let's say I heard you got this hot new SMDS network. I want to set one up. Tell me what I need to do to get dealers X, Y and Z on my network.

1.0

1.3

A. Okay. Well, AT&T will probably refer Ford to MCI because MCI is the only interexchange carrier I'm aware of that offers SMDS.

Actually they'll probably tell them it's not around any more because they don't want to send MCI any customers.

Basically the customer would define their locations, define who they would want to communicate with and then a carrier such as MCI would make recommendations on the proper equipment, help them set up and make those allowances I refer to to make sure each location could communicate with the other.

- Q. Now, if I wanted to do the same thing on a frame relay network and I call up Ameritech and I say I understand you've got a hot new frame relay network, I want to be on it and I want to get my suppliers X, Y and Z on it, how would I go about doing that?
- A. Much in the same manner. However, I am not aware of any customers that have allowed

- Now, when I identify either under SMDS or frame relay the off-the-network parties that I want to have included on my network, technically what happens? Do I have to get their addresses or something that identifies where they are inserted into a routing matrix?
- A. Again, I guess I can speculate. I don't know of anyone who has ever done this. If a company were to allow an outside company access to their critical data network, there would have to be provisions put in each router, which is the premises equipment which, you know, routes traffic from each customer LAN to another location's LAN, there would have to be provisions put in there to identify the outside supplier's location and vice versa.
- Q. For both SMDS and frame relay?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Yet one is a connection-oriented service and one is not?
- A. Yes.

- O. And could you explain a little bit why not?
- A. Well, again, the connections on frame relay are permanent, they're premapped. The connections on SMDS are dynamic, they could be set up and torn down, more similar I guess to the public switched telephone network.
- Q. What, then, is the definition of a connection-oriented service?
- A. Connection-oriented service, my definition would be a permanent connection where the data follows the same path across the network.

 Connectionless, if you would like me to define that.
- Q. Thank you.

- A. Yes. This is the second time we've been through this, anticipating now.

 Connectionless, the data would not necessarily follow the same path across the network.
- Q. Are you using connectionless interchangeably with the term switched?
- A. No. That's the differentiation I tried to make. Both are switching technologies, one is connection-oriented, frame relay, because of its permanent paths, one is connectionless, such as SMDS because you do not have the

permanent paths.

- Q. Where does switching using public switched network facilities enter into this, or does it?
- A. I don't -- again, you use the term public switched. Did you use telephone network or just public switched network?
- O. Is there a difference?
- A. In my mind, yes.
- Q. Could you explain that, please.
- A. Again, when I think of the public switched telephone network, I think of the infrastructure in place to carry switched telephone calls. When we're talking about these data services, they're separate data networks based on switching technologies.
- Q. So if I were to call on the public switched network, would I have ubiquitous dial-up access to every subscriber in a given exchange?
- A. I would say it be extremely high, your access would be extremely high.
- Q. And if I were on -- I'm sorry.
- A. I would assume a hundred percent. Again, I'm not an expert in that area.
 - Q. Okay. And if I were on what you've defined as the telephone network, would I have ubiquitous

dial-up access to everybody in the exchange? 1 MR. FRUEHWALD: Is that the same 2 question? 3 MR. CANIS: I'm sorry, the first 4 one I thought I asked about the public switched 5 network, not the telephone network. 6 clarify that. 7 THE WITNESS: Please. 8 Public switched network, we're talking about 9 public switched network now. If I am on the 10 public switched network, do I have ubiquitous 11 dial-up access to everyone in a given exchange? 12 Public switched telephone network or some type 13 of public switched data network where switches 14 are used for multiple customers? 15 I'm sorry, I thought we just defined your 16 17 definition of the public switched network versus the telephone network. 18 19 Again, I guess maybe I'm getting caught up in 20 the semantics. I think perhaps all there are semantics 21 22 Let's define -- let's start out defining here. What is the public switched 23 our terms. 24 network?

A public switched network I would say is a

pretty generic term which is categorized where carriers would use a single switching platform to carry multiple customers across there.

The public switched telephone network is a separate technical architecture and it would be, you know, the classical network you think of when you pick up your telephone at home and make a telephone call.

- Q. So that does not use a single switching platform?
- A. Which one?

б

- Q. The telephone -- public switched telephone network.
- A. It could, it could not. You could have

 multiple switch vendors in a public switched

 telephone network. You could have various

 carriers that are interconnected with the

 public switched telephone network with a

 variety of different switch platforms.
- Q. So is there a difference between the PSN and the PSTN in terms of a person's ability to have ubiquitous dial-up access to everyone in the exchange?
- A. Yes, I think so. And, again, the public switched network I think is really a generic

1		term that you would just categorize any carrier
2		that would combine multiple customers over a
3		single switching platform.
4	Q.	Now, can you have a connectionless service on
5		the public switched telephone network?
6	Α.	Not that I'm aware of. I guess loose
7		interpretation you might well, no, not that
8		I'm aware of.
9	Q.	So the answer is no?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Can you have
12		MR. FRUEHWALD: Excuse me,
13		connectionless on the public switched telephone
14		network?
15		MR. CANIS: Telephone network.
16		MR. FRUEHWALD: Connectionless,
17		okay.
18	Q.	Can you have a connection-oriented service on
19		the public switched telephone network?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	So you can have a connection-oriented service
22		on the PSTN?
23	Α.	Connection-oriented on the public switched
24		telephone network?
25	Q.	Right.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can I have frame relay on the PSTN?
- A. No.

- Q. But frame relay is a connection-oriented service?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What other connection-oriented services that are not voice telephony can I have on the PSTN?
- A. Voice telephony and I guess other interpretations of that telephony are the only ones I can think of. That would include modem traffic and facsimile type traffic.

JUDGE MILLER: And those are examples of connection-oriented traffic which you can have on the public switched telephone network?

establish a telephone call, although it's switched, that actual connection -- and again I'm getting into the architecture of the public switched telephone network which I'm not extremely familiar with, but I believe it follows the same path, so I would categorize it as connection-oriented.

Q. Now, does that mean that fax and modem

circuit -- I'm sorry, your Honor, were you
finished?

JUDGE MILLER: Yes, I am.

- Q. Does that mean that fax and modem circuits on the PSTN cannot be dynamically routed but they have to be torn down?
- A. They don't have to be torn down.
- Q. Well, can they be dynamically routed?
- A. I wouldn't call it routing. I would say that you can establish connections to a variety of -- any other user of the public switched telephone network you can establish a connection with.
- Q. I thought a little while ago you said that connection -- the definition of connection-oriented was you could not reconfigure it dynamically but you had to tear it down if you wanted to terminate it.
- A. Maybe more accurate would be the actual path of the data. One of the categories -- one of the characteristics of frame relay is those connections never come down. Maybe a broader interpretation of connection-oriented would be the data following the same path.
- Q. And to your knowledge, frame relay never has

- data following the same path?
- A. That's opposite from what I said.
- Q. Clearly I'm confused then. Could you clarify?
- A. Frame relay is connection-oriented.
- O. Uh-huh.
 - A. And in connection-oriented technology, the data follows the same path.
 - Q. And does that path have to be torn down if you want to terminate that circuit and reconfigure it to another location?
 - A. Well, with frame relay the circuits are permanent, they're never torn down, so you pay for it 24 hours a day, seven days a week. So if you wanted to tear -- if you wanted to communicate with another location, you would have another permanent connection. Doesn't give you that dynamic capability such as the public switched telephone network does to go to any user on that network dynamically.
 - Q. But do fax and modem connection-oriented circuits, are they similarly -- are they similarly permanent? Can they be dynamically reconfigured?
 - A. They can be both. I would say in most cases when we think of fax traffic, that is a

- call-by-call type communication where the call is set up, then the data follows that path over that call itself.
- Q. I'd like to refer you to page 5 of your testimony, line 17 and 18 --
- A. Okay.

- Q. -- where we talk about prebuilt routing tables.

 Do switched services use prebuilt routing
 tables?
- A. Well, in a sense they do that when a switch looks at a frame of traffic coming through, it knows what direction to send it. The routing table's significantly different because the router actually looks inside of that frame and processes that data. The switch just passes it through.
- Q. If I am a new subscriber to switched service on the PSTN, can anybody call me until I have my number entered into the routing table?
- A. Again, I don't think the term routing table is accurate in the public switched telephone network.
- Q. I'm sorry, what term should I be using, then?
- A. I'm not familiar with that. I would say switching table, exchange telephone number

table.

Q. Okay.

- A. Again, I'm not an expert in the terminology used there.
- Q. Same question, then. If I'm a brand new user on the PSTN, can people call me unless I have my number entered into the switching table?
- A. That's sort of a chicken and egg question.

 Once you get dial tone, your number's going to be in there. If you don't have dial tone, no one will be able to reach you, so it's my understanding that no, unless that connection is built, no one will be able to call you.
- Q. What's the -- you mentioned this before and I'm sorry if I'm being repetitious here. What is the difference between a switching table used on the PSTN for voice telephony and a routing table used for frame relay services?
- A. Again, I'm not familiar with the switching tables used in a public switched telephone network. I do know that in a router or in a routing table, the router examines the data inside of the information being passed through the frame or the packet, whereas in a switch that does not occur.

- Q. If I had a real big routing table that included the end user locations of everyone in an exchange, would I have frame relay with ubiquitous dial-up access to everyone in the exchange?
- A. I wouldn't call it dial-up access. You could have permanent connections, again conceivably. I think it would be inconceivable that this would ever be because of engineering constraints, but it is conceivable that you could establish permanent connections with every user in an exchange if they all subscribe to frame relay.
 - Q. Does that application become more credible if we get into a switched frame relay mode, a switched virtual circuit mode?
- A. No, I don't think so.

1.6

- Q. Can you explain why not?
- A. The concept of having every inhabitant of an exchange subscribing to a technology such as frame relay is inconceivable.
- Q. But currently we have every inhabitant of an exchange that's on the network subscribing to voice telephony, is that not the case?
 - A. I'm not clear on your -- you mean the current